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Information retrieval with the web

Last time we learned about information retrieval. We learned how to compute similarity scores (distances) of documents to a given query string.

But what if documents are webpages, and our collection is the whole web (or a big chunk of it)? Now we have two problems:

- The techniques from last lectures (normalization, IDF weighting) are computationally infeasible at this scale. There are about 30 billion webpages!
- Some webpages should be assigned more priority than others, for being more important.

Fortunately, there is an underlying structure that we can use to solve both of these problems: the links between webpages.
Web search before Google

(Taken from Page et al. (1999), “The PageRank Citation Ranking: Bringing Order to the Web”.)
PageRank algorithm

The PageRank algorithm was famously invented by Larry Page and Sergei Brin, founders of Google. The algorithm assigns a PageRank (this is a score, or a measure of importance) to each webpage.

Suppose we have webpages numbered 1, \ldots, n. The PageRank of webpage \( i \) based on its linking webpages (webpages \( j \) that link to \( i \)). But we don’t just count the number of linking webpages, i.e., not all linking webpages are treated equally. Instead, we weight the links from different webpages. This follows two ideas:

- Webpages that link to \( i \), and have high PageRank scores themselves, should be given more weight.
- Webpages that link to \( i \), but link to a lot of other webpages in general, should be given less weight.

Note that the first idea is circular! (But that’s OK.)
BrokenRank (almost PageRank) definition

Let $L_{ij} = 1$ if webpage $j$ links to webpage $i$ (written $j \rightarrow i$), and $L_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise. Also let $m_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n} L_{kj}$, the total number of webpages that $j$ links to.

We’re going to define something that’s almost PageRank, but not quite, because it’s broken. The BrokenRank $p_i$ of webpage $i$

$$p_i = \sum_{j \rightarrow i} \frac{p_j}{m_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{L_{ij}}{m_j}p_j.$$

Does this match our ideas from the last slide? Yes: for $j \rightarrow i$, the weight is $p_j/m_j$. This increases with $p_j$, but decreases with $m_j$. 
BrokenRank in matrix notation

Written in matrix notation,

\[ p = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \\ \vdots \\ p_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad L = \begin{pmatrix} L_{11} & L_{12} & \cdots & L_{1n} \\ L_{21} & L_{22} & \cdots & L_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ L_{n1} & L_{n2} & \cdots & L_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, \]

\[ M = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & m_n \end{pmatrix}. \]

Dimensions: \( p \) is \( n \times 1 \), \( L \) and \( M \) are \( n \times n \).

We can now re-express the definition on the previous page. The BrokenRank vector \( p \) is defined as \( p = LM^{-1}p \).
Let $A = LM^{-1}$. Then $p = Ap$. This means that $p$ is an eigenvector of the matrix $A$ with eigenvalue 1.

Great! Well we know how to compute the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $A$, and there are even methods for doing this quickly when $A$ is large and sparse. (Why is our $A$ sparse?)

But wait ... how do we know that $A$ has an eigenvalue of 1, so that such a vector $p$ exists? And even if it does exist, will it be unique (well-defined)?

To investigate this question, it helps to interpret BrokenRank in terms of a Markov chain.
BrokenRank as a Markov chain

You can think of a Markov Chain as a random process that moves between states numbered $1, \ldots, n$ (each step of the process is one move). Recall that for a Markov chain to have an $n \times n$ transition matrix $P$, this means that $P(\text{go from } i \text{ to } j) = P_{ij}$.

Suppose $p^{(0)}$ is an $n$-dimensional vector giving us the probability of being in each state to begin with. After one step, the probability of being in each state is given by $p^{(1)} = P^T p^{(0)}$. (Why?)

Now consider a Markov chain, with the states as webpages, and with transition matrix $A^T$. Note that $(A^T)_{ij} = A_{ji} = L_{ji}/m_i$, so we can describe the chain as

$$P(\text{go from } i \text{ to } j) = \begin{cases} 
1/m_i & \text{if } i \rightarrow j \\
0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}$$

(Check: does this make sense?) This is like a random surfer, i.e., a person surfing the web by clicking on links uniformly at random.
Stationary distribution

A stationary distribution of our Markov chain is a probability vector \( p \) (i.e., its entries are \( \geq 0 \) and sum to 1) with \( p = Ap \). This means that the distribution after one step of the Markov chain is unchanged. Note that this is exactly what we’re looking for: an eigenvector of \( A \) corresponding to eigenvalue 1!

If the Markov chain is strongly connected, meaning that any state can be reached from any other state, then the stationary distribution \( p \) exists and is unique. Furthermore, we can think of the stationary distribution as the of proportions of visits the chain pays to each state after a very long time (the ergodic theorem):

\[
pi = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\# \text{ of visits to state } i \text{ in } t \text{ steps}}{t}.
\]

Our interpretation: the BrokeRank of \( p_i \) is the proportion of time our random surfer spends on webpage \( i \) if we let him go forever.
Why is BrokenRank broken?

There’s a problem here. Our Markov chain—a random surfer on the web graph—is not strongly connected, in three cases (at least):

- Disconnected components
- Dangling links
- Loops

Actually, even for Markov chains that are not strongly connected, a stationary distribution always exists, but may nonunique.

In other words, the BrokenRank vector $p$ exists but is ambiguously defined.
BrokenRank example

Here \( A = LM^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \).

(Check: matches both definitions?)

Here there are two eigenvectors of \( A \) with eigenvalue 1:

\[
p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

These are totally opposite rankings!
PageRank definition

PageRank is given by a small modification of BrokenRank:

\[ p_i = \frac{1 - d}{n} + d \sum_{j \rightarrow i} \frac{p_j}{m_j} = \frac{1 - d}{n} + d \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{L_{ij}}{m_j} p_j, \]

where \(0 < d < 1\) is a constant (apparently Google uses \(d = 0.85\)).

In matrix notation, this is

\[ p = \left( \frac{1 - d}{n} E + dLM^{-1} \right) p, \]

where \(E\) is the \(n \times n\) matrix of 1s, subject to the constraint \(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1\).

(Check: are these definitions the same? Show that the second definition gives the first. Hint: if \(e\) is the \(n\)-vector of all 1s, then \(E = ee^T\), and \(e^T p = 1\).)
PageRank as a Markov chain

Let \( A = \frac{1-d}{n}E + dLM^{-1} \), and consider as before a Markov chain with transition matrix \( A^T \).

Well \( (A^T)_{ij} = A_{ji} = (1 - d)/n + dL_{ji}/m_i \), so the chain can be described as

\[
P(\text{go from } i \text{ to } j) = \begin{cases} 
(1 - d)/n + d/m_i & \text{if } i \rightarrow j \\
(1 - d)/n & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

(Check: does this make sense?) The chain moves through a link with probability \((1 - d)/n + d/m_i\), and with probability \((1 - d)/n\) it jumps to an unlinked webpage.

Hence this is like a random surfer with random jumps. Fortunately, the random jumps get rid of our problems: our Markov chain is now strongly connected. Therefore the stationary distribution (i.e., PageRank vector) \( p \) is unique.
PageRank example

With $d = 0.85$, $A = \frac{1-d}{n} E + dLM^{-1}$

$$
= \frac{0.15}{5} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix} + 0.85 \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
= \begin{pmatrix}
0.03 & 0.03 & 0.88 & 0.03 & 0.03 \\
0.88 & 0.03 & 0.03 & 0.03 & 0.03 \\
0.03 & 0.88 & 0.03 & 0.03 & 0.03 \\
0.03 & 0.03 & 0.03 & 0.03 & 0.88 \\
0.03 & 0.03 & 0.03 & 0.88 & 0.03 \\
\end{pmatrix}
$$

Now only one eigenvector of $A$ with eigenvalue 1: $p = \begin{pmatrix}
0.2 \\
0.2 \\
0.2 \\
0.2 \\
\end{pmatrix}$. 
Computing the PageRank vector

We could compute the PageRank vector \( p \) via traditional methods, i.e., an eigendecomposition, but this takes on the order of \( n^3 \) operations. When \( n = 3 \times 10^{10} \), \( n^3 = 2.7 \times 10^{31} \) Yikes! (But a bigger concern would be memory...)

Fortunately, there is a much faster way to compute the eigenvector of \( A \) with eigenvalue 1. If we begin with any initial distribution \( p^{(0)} \), and compute

\[
p^{(1)} = Ap^{(0)}
\]

\[
p^{(2)} = Ap^{(1)}
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
p^{(t)} = Ap^{(t-1)},
\]

then \( p^{(t)} \to p \) as \( t \to \infty \). In practice, we just repeatedly multiply by \( A \) until there isn’t much change between iterations.
Computation, continued

There are still important questions remaining about computing the PageRank vector $p$ (with the algorithm presented on last slide):

1. How can we perform each iteration quickly (multiply by $A$ quickly)?
2. How many iterations does it take (generally) to get a reasonable answer?

At a very broad level, the answers are:

1. Use the sparsity of web graph. (How?)
2. Not very many if $A$ large spectral gap (difference between its first and second largest absolute eigenvalues); the largest is 1, the second largest is $\leq d$.

(PageRank in R: see the function `page.rank` in package `igraph`.)


A basic web search

For a basic web search, given a query, we could do the following:

1. Compute the PageRank vector $p$ once. (Google recomputes this from time to time, to stay current.)
2. Find the documents containing all words in the query.
3. Sort these documents by PageRank, and return the top $k$ (e.g. $k = 50$).

This is a little too simple ... but we can use the similarity scores learned last time, changing the above to:

3. Sort these documents by PageRank, and keep only the top $K$ (e.g. $K = 5000$).
4. Sort by similarity to the query (e.g. normalized, IDF weighted distance), and return the top $k$ (e.g. $k = 50$).

Google uses a combination of PageRank, similarity scores, and other techniques (it’s proprietary!).
Variants/extensions of PageRank

A precursor to PageRank:

▶ **Hubs and authorities**: using link structure to determine “hubs” and “authorities”; a similar algorithm was used by Ask.com. (Kleinberg (1997), “Authoritative Sources in a Hyperlinked Environment”.)

Following its discovery, there has been a huge amount of work to improve/extend PageRank—and not only at Google! There are many, many academic papers too, here are a few:

▶ **Intelligent surfing**: pointing the surfer towards webpages that are textually relevant. (Richardson and Domingos (2002), “The Intelligent Surfer: Probabilistic Combination of Link and Content Information in PageRank”.)

▶ **TrustRank**: pointing the surfer away from spam. (Gyongyi et al. (2004), “Combating Web Spam with TrustRank”.)

▶ **PigeonRank**: pigeons, the real reason for Google’s success. ([http://www.google.com/onceuponatime/technology/pigeonrank.html](http://www.google.com/onceuponatime/technology/pigeonrank.html))
Encouragement

(I don’t mean: “Google found the 25 billion dollar eigenvector.”)

“Beautiful math tends to be useful, and useful things tend to have beautiful math.” ... Statistics is often where it comes together.
Recap: PageRank

PageRank is a ranking for webpages based on their importance. For a given webpage, its PageRank is based on the webpages that link to it. It helps if these linking webpages have high PageRank themselves. It hurts if these linking webpages also link to a lot of other webpages.

We defined it by modifying a simpler ranking system (BrokenRank) that didn’t quite work. The PageRank vector $p$ corresponds to the eigenvector of a particular matrix $A$ corresponding to eigenvalue 1. It can also be explained in terms of a Markov chain, interpreted a random surfer with random jumps. These jumps were crucial, because they made the chain strongly connected, and guaranteed that the PageRank vector (stationary distribution) $p$ is unique.

We can compute $p$ by repeatedly multiplying by $A$. PageRank can be combined with similarity scores for a basic web search.
Next time: clustering

E.g., apples with apples and oranges with oranges!

(If only it were that easy...)